
ELEVA Euroland Selection

ESG Annual Report

Response to the Article 29 of the Energy-Climate Law and SFDR Regulation



Foreword

This report has been prepared in response to the Article 29 of the French Energy-Climate Law (n°2019-1147 of November 8, 2019) and to the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR). It covers ELEVA Euroland Selection as a fund classified Article 8.

An ESG annual report is available at the ELEVA Capital level. ESG annual reports are available for all article 8, article 9 and funds with more than € 500 million of assets under management. These documents complement ELEVA Capital's Transparency Code which describes ELEVA Capital's ESG approach, proprietary methodologies, and the resources dedicated to responsible investment.

The ELEVA Capital ESG annual report and the Transparency Code are available in the dedicated responsible approach section of our website: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information

ELEVA Capital is committed to a continuous improvement of its approach and reporting. Stakeholders' feedback and dialogue will always be welcomed.



Contents

1.	ELE	VA Euroland Selection	. 4
2.	Sus	tainability performances	. 7
3.	Vot	ing and engagement	10
3	3.1	Voting review in 2022	10
3	3.2	Engagement review in 2022	11
4.	Eur	opean Taxonomy and exposure to the fossil fuel sector	12
4	4.1	Taxonomy alignment	12
4	1.2	Fossil fuel sector exposure	12
5.	Stra	ategy to align with the temperature targets of the Paris Agreement	13
į	5.1	Climate change consideration	13
ļ	5.2	Next steps	13
6.	Stra	ategy for alignment with long-term biodiversity goals	14
7.	App	proach to take into account ESG criteria in risk management	15
8. fac		tement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainabil	
9.	Cor	ntinuous improvement plan and concordance table with regulation	21



1. ELEVA Euroland Selection

ELEVA Euroland Selection is an SRI fund (article 8 SFDR) investing in primarily in European equities and equity related securities denominated primarily in Euro.

As of 31 December 2022, ELEVA Euroland Selection held the SRI French State Label.

	Strategies	Main asset class	Exclusions in place**	AuM at 31/12/2022 in million EUR	Share of total AuM	SFDR Categorisation	Labels	Link to related fund
ELEVA Euroland Selection	Best in Universe Best Efforts Exclusions	European equities, mainly euro denominated	Tobacco, Controversial weapons, Nuclear weapons, Coal*, Violation of the UN Global Compact, UN GPs, ILO convention and OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises violations	947	9.4%	Article 8	SRI French State Label	https://www.e levacapital.co m/en/funds/el eva-euroland- selection

^{*} See our Coal policy: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information



^{**} More details on our exclusion policy (sectors, thresholds...) are available in our Transparency Code here https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information

Investment process

The investment process counts 3 steps, 2 being based on non-financial criteria.

Investment process - ELEVA Euroland Selection Universe of European equities o.w. 7,100 Eurozone companies Eligibility Liquid companies Liquidity > 2M€ daily o.w. 600 Eurozone companies > 5bn€ market cap. **OR** < 5bn€ market cap. with both : Sufficient top-line growth And a strong balance sheet Initial investment universe Existence of an ESG score (Eleva, otherwise MSCI) o.w. 310 Eurozone companies At least 90% of the **ESG** Exclusions portfolio is invested Companies in violation of UN Global Compact or ILO Investable universe in Eurozone equities Conventions or UN guiding principles on Business and Human After ESG exclusions Rights or OECD guidelines for Multinational Enterprises o.w. 300 Eurozone companies Controversial weapons Tobacco and nuclear weapons (>5% of sales) Companies above the threshold of Eleva's coal policy Fundamental Analysis focused on companies that are either: Family owned Or have a differentiated business model At any time: Or face change ~ 150 focus Weighted average ESG score of the Or have different credit and equity messages portfolio companies At least 85%+ of the portfolio will come from the focus companies Scored with Eleva ESG methodology Average of the 80% Best ESG score ESG score ≥ 40/100 of the initial investment universe • ESG approach: best in universe or best effort

1. **Exclusions.** The fund applies to its initial investment universe the following set of exclusions:

Norm based	Sector based				
Voluntary basis	Regulatory Reasons	Voluntary basis			
Violation of 1 or more UN Global Compact Principles or ILO conventions or UN guiding principles on Business and Human Rights or OECD guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Controversial weapons*, (including chemical, biological and depleted uranium-based weapons) (threshold: 0% of sales)	Tobacco Nuclear weapons (threshold : 5% of sales) Coal**			



- * Cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines, in line with Oslo and Ottawa treaties
- ** Regarding the coal revenues thresholds, check our coal policy available here: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information
- 2. **Positive ESG Screening.** Companies are internally analysed based on their behaviour towards their 5 stakeholders (shareholders, employees, suppliers, civil society, the planet) and scored on ESG criteria (from 0 to 100). To be eligible, a minimum ESG score of 40/100 is required. The analysis includes, among others, the quality of the management team, the climate change performances or the management of the supply chain.

Transparency is at the heart of our responsible investor approach. For further information on ELEVA Euroland Selection:

- ELEVA Capital Transparency Code, available on our website, in the Responsible Investment section: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information
- Prospectus, available on the fund's dedicated website: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/funds/eleva-euroland-selection




2. Sustainability performances

ELEVA Capital has developed a proprietary ESG analysis methodology based on the analysis of companies' relationships with all their stakeholders: shareholders, employees, suppliers, the planet and civil society. Any issuer present in the fund is intended to be analysed and rated on ESG criteria. However, and in accordance with the specifications of the SRI label, 10% of the fund's outstanding amount may be invested in unrated assets or issuers. The use of this 10% tolerance is very limited. For example, it may be used, on a temporary basis, to participate in IPO or block placements. In these cases, the management team has a maximum of a month to rate the company. A minimum ESG score of 40/100 is necessary for a company to be considered as an investment. The fund's weighted average ESG score may in no case be lower than the average ESG score of the initial investment universe after elimination of the 20% worst companies.

As of 31 December 2022, the average ESG score of the portfolio is 65/100. This compares to the 63/100 ESG score of its reduced investment universe. The weighted scores on E, S and G criteria are higher than those of its initial investment universe:



Fund's issuers covered by Internal ESG analysis: 100%



Source: ELEVA Capital, MSCI as of 31/12/2022


Moreover, the fund must show a better performance than its initial investment universe on the following two ESG key performance indicators:

- Carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent (scope 1+2) / million euros invested)
- signatories of the UN Global Compact (sum of the weights of the UN Global Compact signatories).




CARBON PERFORMANCE

Carbon footprint (in tons of CO₂ equivalent per million € invested)




Carbon intensity (in tons of CO₂ equivalent per million € of sales)



Source: MSCI as of 31/12/2022

UN GLOBAL COMPACT SIGNATORIES


Signatories of the United Nations Global Compact



Source: Global Compact as of 31/12/2022

HUMAN CAPITAL


Growth in the number of employees



Source: ELEVA Capital as of 31/12/2022

BOARD GENDER DIVERSITY

Percentage of women on board



Source: MSCI, ELEVA Capital as of 31/12/2022





As of 31 December 2022, the fund's average carbon footprint was 105 tons of CO2 equivalent / million euros invested which is lower than its initial investment universe (246 tons of CO2 equivalent / million euros invested). UN Global Signatories represented 95% of the fund (vs 64% for the investment universe).



3. Voting and engagement


3.1 Voting review in 2022

ELEVA Capital is committed to exercising its voting rights for all the equities held in its portfolios.

In 2022, ELEVA Capital voted at 38 AGMs for which ELEVA Euroland Selection held voting rights. During these annual meetings, we voted on 630 resolutions (49 were non-voting items) – an average of 17 resolutions per shareholder meeting.


We voted "AGAINST" in 55 of the 581 voting resolutions, corresponding to a 9.5% opposition rate.





The resolutions that drew the most opposition from the fund were Board related (45.5% of total opposition votes) and about capitalization and strategic transactions (21.8% of total opposition votes).

BREAKDOWN OF VOTES AGAINST BY THEME



Regarding the environmental and social specific resolutions, ELEVA Euroland Selection supported 6 resolutions in total:




- 4 approvals of sustainability/non-financial reports
- 2 say-on climates.

All voting decisions for the AGMs of the ELEVA Euroland Selection fund are disclosed in its Voting report (https://www.elevacapital.com/en/funds/eleva-euroland-selection).

3.2 Engagement review in 2022

As of 31 December 2022, ELEVA Euroland Selection engaged with 92% of the total number of companies held in portfolio (35 companies). The remaining have been engaged in 2023. Among the 35 companies, 19 have been engaged in 2022, sharing with them 56 areas of improvement.

BREAKDOWN OF ENGAGEMENTS BY THEME



We monitor these commitments to assess their degree of achievement over time. Updating the ESG analysis, which takes place about every two years when we are still shareholders of a company, helps to verify whether the engagement has borne fruit.

We track which topics have been "achieved", "partially achieved" or "not achieved". This sets the basis for re-engaging with the company. The re-engagement could be a reiteration of formerly discussed topics or, if past topics were "achieved" or have become less relevant, they can be replaced by new engagement topics.

In 2022, for the first time, we updated the ESG analysis of companies scored 2 years earlier and still held in the portfolios. In this context, we assessed the achievement of engagement topics sent in 2020 for 3 companies of ELEVA Euroland Selection, representing a total of 7 engagement areas:

- 4 have been achieved
- 2 partially achieved
- 1 not achieved.

Naturally, the successful or partially successful status of an action cannot be fully attributed to our sole engagement, as many other shareholders may have conducted similar engagement.



4. European Taxonomy and exposure to the fossil fuel sector

4.1Taxonomy alignment

The data available today to calculate the Environmental Taxonomy alignment is mainly provided by data providers, such as MSCI, and is mainly based on estimates done by these providers.

At this point in time, we are unable to provide reliable Environmental taxonomy alignment figures for the fund as of 31/12/2022, as reported data on taxonomy, delivered by our data provider, are available for only 4 companies out of the ELEVA Capital equity portfolio.

For more details on taxonomy definition, please refer to the document ESG Annual Report of ELEVA Capital available here: https://www.elevacapital.com/lu/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information

4.2 Fossil fuel sector exposure

In parallel to the Taxonomy alignment, we assessed ELEVA Euroland Selection exposure to companies with activities including extraction, processing, storage, and transportation of petroleum products, natural gas, and thermal coal according to the SFDR calculation methodology for the PAI "Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector".

As a baseline for comparison, we calculated the same metric for the initial investment universe as of 31/12/2022.

	In %	Coverage rate
ELEVA Euroland Selection exposure to the fossil fuel sector	10.3%	97.4%
Initial investment universe exposure to the fossil fuel sector	8.3%	99.9%

Source: MSCI (derived from publicly available information)



5. Strategy to align with the temperature targets of the Paris Agreement

5.1 Climate change consideration

Our commitment to fight climate change and to manage climate risks of our investments influence the investment process of our funds, from the definition of the investment universe and stock selection to portfolio construction. Climate change consideration is embedded in all the steps of our ESG approach followed by all our equity funds.

All details of our current practices related to climate change are disclosed in the ESG Annual Report of ELEVA Capital available here: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information

5.2 Next steps

As a starting point to build a comprehensive climate strategy with a clear alignment with the Paris Agreement and time-bound objectives, ELEVA Capital took a subscription in 2022 to an MSCI dataset to measure the implied temperature rise of its portfolios. This tool helps us to appraise the actual base line and to start working on our roadmap to align our portfolios with the temperature objectives of the Paris Agreement.

As presented below, ELEVA Euroland Selection implied temperature rise has decreased substantially in 2022 compared to 2021, due to the changes in carbon budgets which have been considerably impacted by higher companies' revenues, boosted by inflation. This impact is also reflected in the initial investment universe temperature metric.

	In Celsius	degrees	Coverage rate		
	2021	2022	2021	2022	
ELEVA Euroland Selection Implied temperature rise	2.75	2.08	92.4%	100%	
Initial investment universe Implied temperature rise	2.47	2.35	97.8%	99.1%	

Source: MSCI

This metric gives us a picture on the fund's positioning on the way towards the alignment with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius. The significant moves between 2021 and 2022 show the low level of maturity of such indicators which methodology should continue to evolve to be less dependent on external factors such as inflation. In this context, setting temperature targets in the short term remains challenging.

MSCI Implied temperature rise methodology is available on the following website: https://www.msci.com/documents/1296102/27422075/Implied-Temperature-Rise-Methodology-Summary.pdf



6. Strategy for alignment with long-term biodiversity goals

As an Article 8 fund, ELEVA Euroland Selection is included in ELEVA Capital's general approach on the biodiversity topic.

The latter is developed in the ESG Annual Report of ELEVA Capital available here: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information



7. Approach to take into account ESG criteria in risk management

As an Article 8 fund, ELEVA Euroland Selection follows ELEVA Capital's approach on ESG risks management.

Thus, sustainability risks in our investments are identified, monitored and managed through scoring our companies on ESG criteria. Our ESG score reflects the sustainability risks a company is exposed to and how well managed they are.

More information is given in the ESG Annual Report of ELEVA Capital available here: https://www.elevacapital.com/en/our-responsible-approach#for-further-information



8. Statement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors

This section is published using the tools and information available at the time of writing of this report. Metrics are calculated according to PAI SFDR Methodology at ELEVA Euroland Selection portfolio level. Reweighting has not been applicated when data is missing, so we disclose simultaneously the coverage rate of each indicator. For indicators with a coverage rate below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric. The data used is from MSCI.

Financial market participant: ELEVA Euroland Selection - Legal entity identifier: 213800HCY6WWO4AGCE36

Summary

ELEVA Euroland Selection considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. The present statement is the consolidated statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of ELEVA Euroland Selection.

This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2022.

Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Indicators appl	ndicators applicable to investments in investee companies								
Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact FY2022	Coverage rate	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period				
	CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS								
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions in tons of CO ₂ equivalent	53,824.8	97.4%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG scoring methodology				
		Scope 2 GHG emissions in tons of CO₂ equivalent	13,583.7	97.4%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG scoring methodology				
		Scope 3 GHG emissions in tons of CO ₂ equivalent	488,815.4	97.4%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG scoring methodology				



	Total GHG emissions in tons of CO₂ equivalent	556,223.9	97.4%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG
	or objections			scoring methodology
2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint in tons of CO ₂ equivalent per million	601.3	97.4%	Binding indicator for ELEVA Euroland Selection fund
	EUR invested			(Scope 1&2 emissions)
3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies in tons of CO ₂ equivalent per million EUR of sales	967.5	97.4%	
4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	companies active in the	10.3%	97.4%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG scoring methodology
5. Share of non- renewable energy consumption and production	energy consumption and	77.9%	94.5%	
6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact sector	Energy consumption in	Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code A) NA Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code B) NA Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code C) 0.58 Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code D) 1.94 Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code E) 1.98	93.1%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG scoring methodology for all sectors except Financials



			Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code F) 0.18 Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code G) 0.33 Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code H) NA Energy consumption intensity (NACE Code L) 0.35		
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	-	0%	As the coverage rate is below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric
Water	8. Emission to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	-	0.5%	As the coverage rate is below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0.44	62.7%	



ı	NDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AI	ND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR I	HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION	AND ANTI-BRI	BERY MATTERS
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0%	97.4%	Binding indicator at ELEVA Capital level as it is part of the overall exclusion list
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance /complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	10.5%	96.4%	
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	-	24.6%	As the coverage rate is below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	41.5%	97.4%	This metric is analysed in the Shareholders pillar of our ESG scoring methodology



	14. Exposure to	Share of investments in	0%	97.4%	Binding indicator at ELEVA
	controversial	investee companies			Capital level as it is part of
	weapons (anti-	involved in the			the overall exclusion policy
	personnel mines,	manufacture or selling of			
	cluster munitions,	controversial weapons			
	chemical weapons				
	and biological				
	weapons)				
	OTHER IN	NDICATORS FOR PRINCIPAL AI	DVERSE IMPACTS ON SUSTAINABILIT	Y FACTORS	
Emissions	4. Investments in	Share of investments in	34.1%	97.4%	
	companies without	investee companies			
	carbon emission	without carbon emission			
	reduction initiatives	reduction initiatives aimed			
		at aligning with the Paris			
		Agreement			
Social and	1. Investments in	Share of investments in	21.2%	96.7%	
employee	companies without	investee companies			
matters	workplace accident	without a workplace			
	prevention policies	accident prevention policy			



9. Continuous improvement plan and concordance table with regulation

Theme	Article 29 of the Energy- Climate law	SFDR Regulation	Corresponding section of this report	Comments	Improvement Plan	Target date
Adverse impacts		Description of the principal adverse sustainability impacts and indicators	Section 8			
Alignment with Paris Agreement	Quantitative objective until 2030		Section 5.2	No quantitative objective set because of significant variability of carbon budget data within the methodology used	Discussion with our data provider and scanning other methodologies for carbon emissions monitoring in line with Paris Agreement	2025 at the latest
	Quantitative results		Section 5.2			
	Methodology		Section 5.2			
	Changes in the investment strategy: coal policy		Section 5.1			
Alignment with	Respect of the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity		Section 6	No indicator has been adopted by the fund to assess biodiversity	Scanning new methodologies and	2025 at
long-term biodiversity goals	Impacts reduction analysis		Section 6	footprint because of the lack of relevant	indicators available in the market based, for	the latest
	Biodiversity footprint indicator		Section 6	methodology	instance, on the TNFD	
	Identification, assessment and					
Dick	prioritization process and		Section 7			
Risk management	management of risks					
management	Climate risks		Section 7			
	Biodiversity loss risks		Section 7			

Disclaimer

This report has been written in compliance with the article 29 of the French Energy Climate Law (the decree implementing this article 29 of the energy-climate law (LEC) of 8 November 2019 was published on 27 May 2021). This report is a regulatory requirement for information purpose only.

This report should not be considered as a marketing material nor an investment advice for ELEVA Capital products.

The information used to write this report has been obtained from a wide range of sources that ELEVA Capital considers to be accurate. The main sources are the annual report of companies mentioned in the report. Proprietary ESG (Environment, Social, Governance) scores are used. They are the latest available, and could be up to two years old, given the fact that these proprietary scores are updated at least every two years. Some ESG key performance indicators are calculated based on MSCI data.

The sources used to carry out this reporting are considered reliable, however ELEVA Capital declines all responsibility for any omission, error or inaccuracy. ELEVA Capital accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect losses caused by the use of the information provided in this document. The information presented in this document is simplified, for more information please refer to the KIID and the prospectus of the relevant UCITS available on our website (www.elevacapital.com). The figures quoted relate to past years and past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

The Fund's management company is ELEVA Capital S.A.S., a French société par actions simplifiée, registered with the Paris Trade and Companies Register under number 829 373 075 having its registered office at 32 rue de Monceau 75008 Paris, France. ELEVA is a French portfolio management company, duly authorised under number GP 17000015 and regulated by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (the French supervisory authority).





ELEVA Capital SAS

32, rue de Monceau 75008 Paris - Capital 8 – Immeuble Murat Sud with registered capital of 670 000€

RCS PARIS 829 373 075 – TVA INTRACOM FR 76 829 373 075

Tel: +33 (0)1 40 69 28 70