Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Product name: ELEVA European Selection Fund

Legal entity identifier: 213800U6H9LM4F8AFZ64

Sustainable

investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



More information on ELEVA Capital's definition of Sustainable investments in accordance with article 2.17 of the SFDR regulation is available in the ESG Policy. Please refer to the section "What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?" of this periodic reporting for clarifications about the distinction of treatment between 'Taxonomy-aligned' Sustainable investments and the other Sustainable investments sub-categories. Statistics on the share of Taxonomy-aligned investments are presented in the section "To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?".

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) ELEVA European Selection Fund



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

ELEVA European Selection Fund (the "Sub-Fund") promoted a combination of environmental, social and governance ("ESG") characteristics by investing in companies with good ESG practices (i.e best in universe) or companies that were on an improving path regarding ESG practices (i.e best efforts) while excluding companies that had not a minimum ESG rating (40/100).

The Sub-Fund applied the Management Company's exclusion policy, i.e.:

• Norm based exclusions: companies having violated ILO (International Labour Organisation) Conventions, or one of the UN guiding principles on Business and Human Rights, or one of the UN Global Compact principles, or one of the OECD Guidelines For Multinational Enterprises.

• Sector based exclusions: companies involved in the sector (including production or distribution) of controversial weapons (0% of sales threshold), of tobacco (5% of sales threshold) and of nuclear weapons (5% of sales threshold). The Sub-fund also applied ELEVA Capital's coal policy, available on ELEVA Capital website.

- As of 31/12/2024, the Sub-fund did not hold any position in excluded companies as defined above.

• The weighted average ESG score of the ELEVA European Selection Fund had to be significantly higher (i.e. better) than the average ESG score of its initial investment universe. This implied that the weighted average ESG score of the Sub-Fund may in no case be lower than the average ESG score of the initial investment universe after elimination of the 20% worst companies.

• A minimum ESG score of 40/100 was required for each company to enter the portfolio.

• The weight of issuers analysed and scored on ESG criteria with the ELEVA methodology had to be higher than 90% of the invested pocket (i.e. excluding cash).

As of 31/12/2024:

- The Sub-Fund showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 67/100 for the Sub-Fund against 64/100 for the reduced universe;

- No invested company had an ESG score below 40/100;

- 100% of the invested pocket (i.e. excluding cash) had been analysed and scored through the ELEVA ESG methodology.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The Sub-Fund had to show, as binding ESG criteria, a better performance than its initial investment universe on the following two ESG key performance indicators: carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested, covering Scope 1+2) and exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories (sum of the weights of the UN Global Compact signatories). Moreover, the weighted average ESG score of the Sub-Fund had to be significantly higher (i.e. better) than the average ESG score of its initial investment universe.

As of 31/12/2024, the Sub-Fund:

• Had a better performance than its initial investment universe on its carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested, covering Scope 1+2): 93for the Sub-Fund against 184for the universe;

• Presented a better exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories than its initial investment universe: 83% for the SubFund against 64% for the universe;

• Showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 67/100 for the Sub-Fund against 64/100 for the reduced universe.

The sustainability indicators presented above have not been subject to an assurance provided by auditors or a review by a third-party.

...and compared to previous periods?

As of 29/12/2023, the Sub-Fund:

• Had a better performance than its initial investment universe on its carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested, covering Scope 1+2): 94 for the Sub-Fund against 200 for the universe;

• Presented a better exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories than its initial investment universe: 82% for the SubFund against 63% for the universe;

• Showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 65/100 for the Sub-Fund against 63/100 for the reduced universe.

As of 30/12/2022, the Sub-Fund:

• Had a better performance than its initial investment universe on its carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested, covering Scope 1+2): 91 for the Sub-Fund against 246 for the universe;

• Presented a better exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories than its initial investment universe: 92% for the SubFund against 64% for the universe;

• Showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 65/100 for the Sub-Fund against 63/100 for the reduced universe.

2022 was the starting point for the comparison of sustainability indicators performance, as the regulation was not yet in force in previous periods.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

As of 2024, the ELEVA European Selection Fund had committed to a minimum share of 20% of sustainable investments (ex-ante). Sustainable investments with environmental objectives might include energy efficiency, renewable energies, sustainable mobility, smart building, sustainable infrastructure, etc. and sustainable investments with social objectives might include health and wellbeing, etc. Please refer to the following question for more details.

In parallel, and although the Sub-Fund has no ex-ante commitment on this particular indicator, the weighted average revenue contribution to the UN SDGs calculated ex-post was 35% as of 31/12/2024 (excluding sovereign bonds, if any).

Lastly, and although the Sub-fund has not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU taxonomy, we voluntarily disclose the ex-post weighted average taxonomy-aligned revenue of the Sub-Fund: 5.7% (excluding sovereign bonds, if any). Most Taxonomy aligned investments had a positive contribution to climate change mitigation (5.6% of turnover aligned excluding sovereign bonds, if any) and climate change adaptation (0.13% of turnover aligned excluding sovereign bonds, if any), and to a lesser extent to transition to a circular economy (0.12% of turnover aligned excluding sovereign bonds, if any). The Taxonomy indicators presented above have not been subject to an assurance provided by auditors or a review by a third-party, but they are only based on reported company data and are therefore considered compliant with Article 3 of the Taxonomy regulation.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Several criteria have been applied to ensure that sustainable investments do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective:

• Exclusion (please refer to the question « To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met? »)

• Minimum ESG score of 60/100, and a minimal Governance score of 50/100, which screens out companies with bad ESG practices, poor Governance, and/or significant controversies. As explained in the question "How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?", the ESG score captures many indicators for adverse impacts.

• Positive contribution through the proportion of revenues: the positive contribution of each company is measured by calculating the proportion of revenue generated with products or services that contribute positively to one or more UN SDGs. To be

Principal adverse

impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and antibribery matters.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

considered as a sustainable investment, a company must, in addition to the 2 previous criteria, generate at least 20% of its revenue from this type of products or services. This is a net turnover threshold; thus, we deduct from this percentage the portion of turnover possibly achieved with products having potentially residual negative impacts (i.e. if not already excluded through the exclusion criteria). This indicator is expressed as a percentage and corresponds directly to the percentage of net sales, calculated as mentioned above.

If an investee company met these criteria, it was considered a sustainable investment in its entirety. As of 31/12/2024, 46% of the Sub-fund investments passed these criteria and have been classified as sustainable investments measured ex-post.

— How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors were taken into account, at the product level, including for sustainable investments, through the set of exclusion, through the criteria analysed in the ESG analysis and through the binding ESG KPIs (please refer to the question "How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?").

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

The companies that had violated ILO (International Labour Organisation) Conventions, or one of the UN guiding principles on Business and Human Rights, or one of the UN Global Compact principles, or of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises were excluded in this Sub-Fund.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) ELEVA European Selection Fund



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund took into consideration the 14 principal adverse impact indicators and 2 optional ones (investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives and investment in companies without workplace accident prevention policies).

• PAI 2, 10 and 14 were taken into consideration in a quantitative way, with maximum exposure or thresholds in place (through Strict Exclusions or through the binding ESG key performance indicators described above)

• PAI 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 13 and the 2 optional ones were taken into consideration in a qualitative way, mainly through the criteria analysed through ESG analysis.

• PAI 7, 8, 9, 12 were only taken into consideration when the data was available (available data for these PAI is scarce).

The statement below presents the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors with respect to ELEVA European Selection, covering the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2024 and calculated as a quarterly average.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

		Indicators applicable	applicable to investments in investee companies	vestee companies		
Adverse sus	Adverse sustainability indicator		Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
		CLIMATE AND OTHEF	D OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS	ATED INDICATORS		-
Greenhouse gas	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	465,118	243,913	2024: 98.2% 2023: 99.6%	This metric is analysed in the
emissions		(tons of CO2				planet pillar of our
		equivalent)				ESG scoring
		Scope 2 GHG	66,029	62,830	2024: 98.2%	This metric is
		emissions			2023: 99.6%	analysed in the
		(tons of CO2				planet pillar of our
		equivalent)				ESG scoring
						methodology
		Scope 3 GHG	1,986,130	2,267,781	2024: 98.2%	This metric is
		emissions			2023: 99.6%	analysed in the
		(tons of CO2				planet pillar of our
		equivalent)				ESG scoring
						methodology
		Total GHG emissions	2,521,410	2,574,524	2024: 98.2%	This metric is
		(tons of CO2			2023: 99.6%	analysed in the
		equivalent)				planet pillar of our
						ESG scoring
						methodology
	2. Carbon	Carbon footprint	424	506	2024: 98.2%	Binding indicator for
	footprint				2023: 99.6%	ELEVA European

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

		Indicators applicable	applicable to investments in investee companies	vestee companies		
Adverse sustainability indicator	ndicator		Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage rate	Actions taken, and
					and	actions planned
					Explanation	and targets set for
						the next reference
						period
		(tons of CO2				Selection fund
		equivalent / EUR				(Scope 1+2
		million invested)				emissions)
3. GHG	GHG intensity	GHG intensity of	856	980	2024: 99.2%	
of inv	of investee	investee companies			2023: 100.0%	
com	companies	(tons of CO2				
		equivalent / EUR				
		million of revenue)				
4. Expo	Exposure to	Share of investments	8.3%	12.6%	2024: 99.2%	This metric is
com	ipanies	in companies active in			2023: 99.6%	analysed in the
activ	active in the	the fossil fuel sector				planet pillar of our
fossi	fossil fuel					ESG scoring
sector	or					methodology
5. Shar	Share of non-	Share of non-	58.5%	62.8%	2024: 99.2%	
rene	renewable	renewable energy			2023: 85.7%	
energy	rgy	consumption and non-				
cons	consumption	renewable energy				
and		production of investee				
prod	production	companies from non-				
		renewable energy				
		sources compared to				
		renewable energy				
		sources, expressed as				

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

	Coverage rate Actions taken, and and actions planned Explanation and targets set for the next reference period			planet plilar of our ESG scoring methodology for all	sectors except Financials				
	Cover Expl		2024: 96.5% 2023: 96.4%						
ivestee companies	Impact 2023		NACE Code A: NA	NACE Code B: 1.18	NACE Code C: 0.35	NACE Code D: 1.71	NACE Code E: 1.09	NACE Code F: 0.19	NACE Code G: 0.47
to investments in ir	Impact 2024		NACE Code A: NA	NACE Code B: 0.53	NACE Code C: 0.49	NACE Code D: 0.88	NACE Code E: NA	NACE Code F: 0.11	NACE Code G: 0.16
Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	Metric	a percentage of total energy sources	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR	or revenue or investee companies, per high impact climate sector					
	Adverse sustainability indicator		6. Energy consumption	Intensity per high impact climate sector					
	Adverse sus								

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

		Indicators applicabl	applicable to investments in investee companies	ivestee companies		
Adverse sus	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
			NACE Code H: 1.74 NACE Code L: 0.46	NACE Code H: 5.42 NACE Code L: 0.03		
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity- sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	14.6%	1	2024: 98.2% Thanks to improvements in data quality and availability, we disclosed this PAI for the first time in 2024.	
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	1	1	2024: 2.2% 2023: 3.3%	As the coverage rate was below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric in 2023 and 2024.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

		Indicators applicable	applicable to investments in investee companies	vestee companies		
Adverse su:	Adverse sustainability indicator		Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for
						the next reference period
Waste	 Hazardous waste and 	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive	0.34	-	2024: 67.0% 2023: 49.8%	As the coverage rate was below
	radioactive waste ratio	waste generated by investee companies				50%, we decided to not publish the
		per million EUR invested, expressed as				metric in 2023.
		a weighted average				
INDICAT	ORS FOR SOCIAL AND	INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS	FOR HUMAN RIGHT	S, ANTI-CORRUPTIOI	n and anti-brib	ERY MATTERS
Social and	10. Violations of	Share of investments	%0.0	0.0%	2024: 99.2%	Binding indicator at
employee	UN Global	In investee companies			2023: 100.0%	ELEVA Capital level
matters	Compact	that have been				as it is part of the
	principles and Organisation	of the UNGC principles				
	for Economic	or OECD Guidelines				
	Cooperation	for Multinational				
	and	Enterprises				
	Development (OECD)					
	Guidelines for					
	Multinational Enterprises					
	-					

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

		Indicators applicable	applicable to investments in investee companies	vestee companies		
Adverse sus	Adverse sustainability indicator		Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance /complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	17.1%	11.1%	2024: 99.2% 2023: 99.6%	The share of investments in signatory companies of the UNGC is a binding indicator for ELEVA European Selection fund
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	13.5%	1	2024: 64.5% 2023: 38.1%	As the coverage rate was below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric in 2023.
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board	42.4%	41.5%	2024: 99.2% 2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in the

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

		Indicators applicable	indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	ivestee companies		
Adverse su:	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage rate and	Actions taken, and actions planned
					Explanation	and targets set for
						the next reference
		members in investee				Shareholders pillar
		companies, expressed				of our ESG scoring
		as a percentage of all				methodology
		board members				
	14. Exposure to	Share of investments	%0'0	%0'0	2024: 99.2%	Binding indicator at
	controversial	in investee companies			2023: 100.0%	ELEVA Capital level
	weapons (anti-	involved in the				as it is part of the
	personnel	manufacture or selling				overall exclusion
	mines, cluster	of controversial				policy
	munitions,	weapons				
	chemical					
	weapons and					
	biological					
	weapons)					

	Actions taken, and actions planned and	
oility factors	Coverage rate and Explanation	
s on sustainab	Impact 2023	
r principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors	Impact 2024	
ndicators fo	Metric	
Other in	Adverse sustainability indicator	

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited)

ELEVA European Selection Fund

	Oth	Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors	tverse impact	s on sustaina	bility factors	
						targets set for the next reference period
Emissions	4. Investments in	Share of investments in	21.3%	24.2%	2024: 98.2%	
	companies	investee companies			2023: 99.0%	
	without carbon	without carbon emission				
	emission	reduction initiatives aimed				
	reduction	at aligning with the Paris				
	initiatives	Agreement				
Social and	1. Investments in	Share of investments in	1.5%	2.3%	2024: 99.2%	
employee	companies	investee companies			2023: 99.6%	
matters	without	without a workplace				
	workplace	accident prevention policy				
	accident					
	prevention					
	policies					

What were the top investments of this financial product?



The table below presents the top investments made by ELEVA European Selection, covering the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2024 and calculated as a quarterly average.

	Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
	Novo Nordisk A/S	Pharmaceuticals	5.19%	Denmark
	ASML Holding NV	Semiconductors	4.79%	Netherlands
The list includes the	SAP SE	Software	2.98%	Germany
investments	Schneider Electric SE	Electrical Equipment	2.55%	France
constituting the	Intesa Sanpaolo SpA	Banks	2.45%	Italy
greatest proportion of investments of	3i Group PLC	Capital Markets	2.38%	United Kingdom
the financial product	NatWest Group PLC	Banks	2.34%	United Kingdom
during the reference	AXA SA	Insurance	2.26%	France
period which is: 1	DSM-Firmenich AG	Chemicals	2.09%	Switzerland
January - 31	Rolls-Royce Holdings		2.01%	United Kingdom
December 2024	Flutter Entertainment Hotels, Restau	Hotels, Restaurants	2.01%	Ireland
	Barclays PLC	Banks	1.95%	United Kingdom
	Hermes International	Textiles, Apparel	1.93%	France
	UCB SA	Pharmaceuticals	1.93%	Belgium
	Publicis Groupe SA	Media	1.88%	France



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

As of 2024, the Sub-fund had committed to a minimum share of 20% of sustainable investments (ex-ante).

To qualify as sustainable investment (pass or fail), a company must generate at least 20% of its revenues with products and services contributing to one or more United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) while at the same time not doing significant harm any environmental or social objective and following good governance practices (in particular with respect to sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance).

DNSH principle and good governance practices were captured through a set of Exclusions, a minimal ESG score of 60/100, and a minimal Governance score of 50/100. The ESG analysis methodology and details on exclusions are disclosed in our ESG Policy, available in the Responsible Approach section of our website.

As of 31/12/2024, the ELEVA European Selection Fund had a proportion of sustainable investments of 46% mesured ex-post.

The Sub-fund has not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU taxonomy but we voluntarily disclose the expost weighted average taxonomy-aligned revenue of the Sub-Fund: 5.7% (excluding sovereign bonds, if any). However, the category 'Taxonomy-aligned' is not linked to the total 'Sustainable' investments (i.e. #1A) in the graph below and is disclosed separately from the two other Sustainable investments sub-categories for two reasons: (1) based on our understanding of the SFDR regulation, the sum of Sustainable investments subcategories must be equal to the total 'Sustainable' investments (i.e. #1A); and (2) in line with the SFDR regulation, ELEVA Capital's definition of sustainable investments is binary i.e. "pass or fail" (as described above and in our ESG Policy) and sustainable investments can either be Environmental or Social but not both, whereas the SFDR regulation prescribes that taxonomy-aligned investments shall be calculated as a weighted average. Considering the lack of regulatory development or clarification on this matter, we decided to keep this stance in 2024. However, we will re-consider this approach in the next periodic reporting depending on upcoming regulatory developments and clarifications. More statistics on the share of Taxonomy-aligned investments are presented below (cf. question "To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?").

What was the asset allocation?



Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#20ther includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

Historical comparisons:

As of 29/12/2023, the ELEVA European Selection Fund had 97% of investments aligned with E/S characteristics, a proportion of sustainable investments of 42% of which 13% with an environmental objective that were not necessarily aligned with the EU taxonomy, and 29% with a social objective. In parallel, the weighted average Taxonomy alignment was 2.7%.

As of 30/12/2022, the ELEVA European Selection Fund had 98% of investments aligned with E/S characteristics, a proportion of sustainable investments of 36% of which 20% with an environmental objective that were not necessarily aligned with the EU taxonomy, and 16% with a social objective. We did not disclosed the weighted average Taxonomy alignment in the 2022 SFDR periodic report as we were unable to provide reliable figures.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

The table below presents the sector exposure of the investments made by ELEVA European Selection, covering the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2024 and calculated as a quarterly average.

Sector	% of assets
Pharmaceuticals	11.18%
Banks	11.10%
Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment	5.72%
Chemicals	5.08%
Electrical Equipment	4.85%
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods	4.06%
Insurance	3.98%
Capital Markets	3.80%
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure	3.45%
Construction Materials	3.30%
Software	3.28%
Aerospace & Defense	3.04%
Electric Utilities	2.94%
Multi-Utilities	2.67%
Machinery	2.61%
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels*	2.37%
Automobiles	2.23%
Building Products	1.97%
Beverages	1.90%
Media	1.88%
Construction & Engineering	1.81%
Specialty Retail	1.64%
Personal Care Products	1.63%
Health Care Equipment & Supplies	1.48%
Air Freight & Logistics	1.39%
Paper & Forest Products	0.93%
Broadline Retail	0.91%
Industrial Conglomerates	0.87%
Health Care Providers & Services	0.72%
Financial Services	0.72%
Professional Services	0.62%
Containers & Packaging	0.58%
Industrial REITs	0.52%
Passenger Airlines	0.50%
Household Durables	0.35%
Life Sciences Tools & Services	0.34%
Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.32%
Food Products	0.15%
IT Services	0.13%

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for fossil gas include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or lowcarbon fuels by the end of 2035. For nuclear energy, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are

activities for which lowcarbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- capital expenditure (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.

operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

(*) Investments in Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels corresponded to the fund's exposure to the fossil fuel sector.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-fund has not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU taxonomy (ex-ante). However, the proportion of sustainable investments aligned with the Taxonomy can be measured ex-post.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?



The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Most Taxonomy aligned investments had a positive contribution to climate change mitigation (5.6% of turnover aligned excluding sovereign bonds, if any) and climate change adaptation (0.13% of turnover aligned excluding sovereign bonds, if any), and to a lesser extent to transition to a circular economy (0.12% of turnover aligned excluding sovereign bonds, if any). The Taxonomy indicators presented above have not been subject to an assurance provided by auditors or a review by a third-party, but they are only based on reported company data and are therefore considered compliant with Article 3 of the Taxonomy regulation.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

As of 31/12/2024:

• 0.0% of the investments (excluding sovereign bonds, if any) made by the Sub-Fund were composed of Transitional Taxonomy-aligned investments in terms of Turnover, 0.5% of CapEx, and 0.1% of OpEx.

• 5.0% the investments (excluding sovereign bonds, if any) made by the Sub-Fund were composed of Enabling Taxonomy-aligned investments in terms of Turnover, 8.4% of CapEx, and 6.6% of OpEx.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Historical comparison: as of 29/12/2023, 2.7% of the investments (excluding sovereign bonds, if any) made by the Sub-Fund were composed of Taxonomy-aligned investments in terms of Turnover, 6.4% of CapEx, and 5.5% of OpEx.

We were unable to provide reliable Environmental taxonomy alignment figures in the 2022 SFDR periodic report.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

As of 2024, the Sub-Fund had committed to a minimum proportion of 5% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not necessarily aligned with the EU taxonomy (ex-ante).

As of 31/12/2024: 15% of all investments of the Sub-Fund were composed of sustainable investments with an environmental objective measured ex-post.



sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

As of 2024, the Sub-Fund had committed to a minimum proportion of 5% of socially sustainable investments (ex-ante).

As of 31/12/2024: 31% of all investments of the Sub-Fund were composed of sustainable investments with a social objective measured ex-post.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

As of 31/12/2024, 4% of the Sub-fund investments were not invested with the E/S characteristics and so included under "other". It consisted of cash, instruments not rated on ESG criteria (e.g. IPOs or among others and UCITS). These investments are notably used for liquidity and/or technical purposes, for which environmental or social safeguards are not applicable.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

An internal process has been in place as well as systematic post-trade monitoring to ensure that 81% minimum of net assets of the Sub-fund were "eligible" as per the ESG process in place (hence investments that are aligned with the promoted environmental and social characteristics).

Moreover, individual engagements with companies invested in the sub-fund were conducted to share key findings of ESG analysis and topics on which they could improve.

ELEVA Capital engaged with 65 companies held by the Sub-fund during the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2024, sharing with them a total of 250 engagement areas. ELEVA Capital also participated in 55 Annual General Meetings for equity holdings held by the Sub-fund.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark? Not applicable

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark? Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?`
Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.